# **Acoustic Tomography With Navy Sonars**

John Spiesberger Scientific Innovations, Inc. 6 Derring Dale Rd., Radnor, PA 19087

phone: 610 293 0834 fax: 610 293 0834 email: johnsr@sas.upenn.edu

Award Number: N00014-00-C-0317

#### LONG-TERM GOALS

The long-term goal of this contract is to determine if a wide variety of Navy sonars can be used to map the sound speed field by means of acoustical tomography for use in research and surveillance. The Sound Surveillance Systems (SOSUS) have traditionally been used for obtaining acoustical tomography data. The Navy has many more sonars than these, and their use should significantly enhance the accuracy and resolution of tomographic maps.

## **OBJECTIVES**

We will utilize different types of active and passive Navy sonars, and electronically controlled acoustic sources deployed by scientists, to determine if Navy sonars can be used to make tomographic maps using a Kalman filter. In particular, we will utilize data from towed arrays, which should provide a synthetic aperture for increasing the resolution and accuracy of tomographic maps (Spiesberger *et al.*, 1997). We need to determine if the data from towed arrays have sufficient signal-to-noise ratios, and if the acoustic paths can be identified from a model, as has been demonstrated with data collected at SOSUS stations (Spiesberger and Metzger, 1992, Norris *et al.*, 1998). Our objective is to compare tomographic maps from towed arrays with those from only SOSUS stations in order to compare the advantages and disadvantages of using towed arrays.

#### **APPROACH**

We will collect pulse like acoustic signals on towed arrays for transmissions over 1000 km. The data will be beamformed, Doppler corrected, and matched filtered if possible. The processed signals will be analyzed to ascertain if they are of sufficient quality to be used to compare with acoustic models of the propagation over the same sections as measured. If the acoustic models can be used to successfully identify the acoustic paths from each transmission, a tomographic inverse will be applied to the differences in travel time between modeled and measured paths. The principal investigator will collaborate with Andrew Jacobson on the tomographic inverse.

#### WORK COMPLETED

We collected and processed signals from tomographic acoustic sources at distances of 1500 to 3000 km on several towed arrays.

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	nection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu ald be aware that notwithstanding an OMB control number.	ion of information. Send commen arters Services, Directorate for Int	ts regarding this burden estimate formation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of to , 1215 Jefferson Davis	his collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE 30 SEP 2001 2. REPORT TYPE		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2001 to 00-00-2001</b>		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
Acoustic Tomograp	ars		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
				5c. PROGRAM I	ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  Scientific Innovations, Inc., 6 Derring Dale Rd., Radnor, PA, 19087				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/M NUMBER(S)	IONITOR'S REPORT	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	ABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	ion unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	TES					
sound speed field b Surveillance System	of this contract is t y means of acoustic ns (SOSUS) have tr re sonars than these graphic maps.	al tomography for aditionally been us	use in research an sed for obtaining a	d surveillan coustical ton	ce. The Sound nography data. The	
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC		17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF		
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE		ABSTRACT	OF PAGES	RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
unclassified	unclassified	unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	4		

**Report Documentation Page** 

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

#### RESULTS

For an as yet unknown reason, we are surprised to find that forward models based on ray theory are not usually yielding model results that successfully resemble the measurements on towed arrays. The model is currently using historical time and space averages of sound speed based on data compiled by Levitus. It is puzzling to us that the forward modeling appears to be more difficult for the sources and receivers we are currently analyzing than for the sources and SOSUS receivers we successfully analyzed during the last 25 years. The successful modeling at SOSUS stations was also done by tracing rays through historical time and space averages of sound speed. For the few cases where our models resemble the data collected at towed arrays, it appears that the discrepancy in travel time between models and measurements is about 1 s, the same as observed from SOSUS stations (Spiesberger *et al.*,1998).

#### IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

It appears that more is to be learned about what is required to accurately model sound propagation over long distances than what we learned during the past 25 years from SOSUS stations. It is important to understand how to accurately model the amplitude and travel time of sound over long distances in the ocean.

#### **TRANSITIONS**

The results of this research are not yet utilized by the operational Navy.

#### RELATED PROJECTS

## **REFERENCES**

Norris, D. E., Spiesberger, J.L., and Merdes, D. W., Comparison of basin-scale acoustic transmissions with rays and further evidence for a structured thermal field in the northeast Pacific, J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 103(1), 182-194 (1998).

Spiesberger, J.L., Fabrikant, A., Silivra A., and Hurlburt, H. E., Estimating climatic temperature change in the ocean with acoustic tomography: Navigational requirements, I.E.E.E. J. Ocean. Eng., 22(1), 128-142 (1997).

Spiesberger, J.L., Hurlburt, H.E., Johnson, M., Keller, M., Meyers, S., and O'Brien, J.J., Acoustic thermometry data compared with two ocean models: The importance of Rossby waves and ENSO in modifying the ocean interior, Dyn. Atm. and Oceans, 26(4), 209-240 (1998).

Spiesberger, J.L., and Metzger, K., Basin-scale ocean monitoring with acoustic thermometers, Oceanography, 5, 92-98 (1992).

### **PUBLICATIONS**

Spiesberger, J.L., An updated perspective on basin-scale tomography, J..Acoust. Soc. Am., 109, 1740-1742 (2001).

Tappert, F.D., Spiesberger, J.L., and Wolfson, M., Study of a novel range-dependent propagation effect with application to the axial injection of signals from the Kaneohe source, in revision, J. Acoust. Soc. Am. (2001).